



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,581	12/06/2000	David M. Maymudes	MS1-637US	9810	
22801	22801 7590 03/05/2004			EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			HUYNH, BA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2173	12	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	1 12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/731,581	MAYMUDES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ba Huynh	2173
Th MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		101711(0) 50014
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12/18/03.	: : :
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27-51</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	-	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27-51</u> is/are reject	cted.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.	:
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)  accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		: :
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	documents have been received.	
<u> </u>	documents have been received in A	···
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	of the priority documents have beer onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
		BA HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER
ttachment(s)		- The state of the
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8,10,11</u> .	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2173

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 49 (former claim 26) is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to US patent #6,269,195 (Gonsalves et al). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 17-19, 21-24, 27-32, 36-40, 44-45, 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent #6,269,195 (Gonsalves et al).
  - As for claims 1, 11, 12, 21-23, 27-30: Gonsalves et al teach a computer implement system and corresponding method for rendering video, comprising the means/steps of:

a video application (fig. 5) configured to enable a user to combine multiple different video clips (1:11-14),

Application/Control Number: 09/731,581 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

bitmap processors operatively coupled with the video application and configured to receive a first bitmap ("original matte image", 3:14-16) that can be used to render a transition between video clips and automatically process the first bitmap to provide a different transition based upon user input (3:18-67; 10:3-8). The first bitmap does not comprise video clip content (i.e., the matte image can be created according to other images (3:30-31; 10:3-8).

- As for claims 2, 3, 13, 24, 31, 50: The first bitmap is processed through different modules (figure 6) to provide a second bitmap that is that is different from the first bitmap, to render a different transition (1:45-48; 3:18-67; 10:3-8).
- As for claims 5, 17, 36, 44: Replication of the first bitmap image is inherently included edit operation (e.g., copying, saving). Replication is also disclosed in modification of the first bitmap image (3:7-67; 10:69).
- As for claims 6, 18, 37, 45: Depending on the type of filter (1:55-60), the input parameter (8:40-62), the type of special effect (10:3-5), and/or the bitmap image used (e.g., original, intermediate, or the composite matte image (10:5-8)) the system provides an offsetting to the bitmap or a transition that is offset from the transition provided by the first bitmap.
- As for claims 7, 19, 38: The system includes means configured to provide a border ("edge") in a transition defined by the first bitmap (4:48-56; 8:9-13).
- As for claims 9, 10, 40: The system is configured to receive parameter(s) provided by the user to process the bitmap (8:40-62). The parameter(s) can be used to change the structure of the bitmap (8:3-5, 17-21).

Art Unit: 2173

- As for claim 32: Per Gonsalves et al, the original matte bitmap is processed through multi-stages of filtering to generate an intermediate matte image, a processed matte image, and other types of filters for filtering the processed matte images (3:14-67).

  The filtered matte images have predetermined gray scale values (6:4-24).
- As for claims 39, 47, 48: Gonsalves et al teach a computer implement system and corresponding method for rendering video, comprising the means/steps of:

a video application (fig. 5) configured to enable a user to combine multiple different video clips (1:11-14),

bitmap processors operatively coupled with the video application and configured to receive a first bitmap ("original matte image", 3:14-16) that can be used to render a transition between video clips and automatically process the first bitmap to provide a different transition based upon user input (3:18-67; 10:3-8). The first bitmap does not comprise video clip content (i.e., the matte image can be created according to other images (3:30-31; 10:3-8). The matte bitmap are filtered to predetermined gray scale values (3:14-67; 6:4-24).

As for claim 49: Gonsalves et al teach a computer implement system and corresponding method for rendering video, comprising the means/steps of:

a video application (fig. 5) configured to enable a user to combine multiple different video clips (1:11-14),

means for receiving parameter(s) provided by the user to process the bitmap (8:40-62). The parameter(s) can be used to change the structure of the bitmap (8:3-5,

Art Unit: 2173

17-21). The parameters include a range that defines a border thickness that is used in connection with the matte bitmap to effect the second transition (6:17-24; 8:13 - 10:2)

bitmap processors operatively coupled with the video application and configured to receive a first bitmap ("original matte image", 3:14-16) that can be used to render a transition between video clips and automatically process the first bitmap to provide a different transition based upon user input (3:18-67; 10:3-8). The first bitmap does not comprise video clip content (i.e., the matte image can be created according to other images (3:30-31; 10:3-8).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4, 8, 14-16, 20, 25, 33-35, 41-43, 46, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonsalves et al.
  - As for claims 4, 14-16, 33-35, 41-43: Gonsalves et al fail to clearly teach the means for stretching and/or shrinking the bitmap. However stretching/shrinking are well kwon bitmap editing operations (see US patent #6,069,668, 5:20-22). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known implementation of stretching/shrinking to Gonsalves et al.

Art Unit: 2173

Motivation of the combining is for, e.g., matching the matte bitmap to the video frame.

As for claims 8, 20, 25, 46, 51: Replication of the first bitmap image is inherently included edit operation (e.g., copying, saving). Replication is also disclosed in modification of the first bitmap image (3:7-67; 10:69). Depending on the type of filter (1:55-60), the input parameter (8:40-62), the type of special effect (10:3-5), and/or the bitmap image used (e.g., original, intermediate, or the composite matte image (10:5-8)) the system provides an offsetting to the bitmap or a transition that is offset from the transition provided by the first bitmap. The system includes means configured to provide a border ("edge") in a transition defined by the first bitmap (4:48-56; 8:9-13). Gonsalves et al fail to clearly teach the means for stretching and/or shrinking the bitmap. However stretching/shrinking are well kwon bitmap editing operations (see US patent #6,069,668, 5:20-22). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known implementation of stretching/shrinking to Gonsalves et al. Motivation of the combining is for, e.g., matching the matte bitmap to the video frame.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The matte bitmap defines the transition and does not have the video clip content as set forth in the rejection.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2173

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Ba Huynh

Primary Examiner

AU 2173

3/1/04

BA HUYNH REIMARY EXAMINER